

Chapter 10 Relations

Def Let X be a given set. A relation on X is a subset $S \subseteq X \times X$. In the context of relations, if $(x, y) \in S$, we denote this as $x \sim y$ and say "x is related to y."

Example 1 Let $S = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : x > y \}$ we will denote this as \mathbb{R}^2 from now on

Then $2 \sim 1$ but $1 \not\sim 2$ since $(2, 1) \in S$
but $(1, 2) \notin S$.

Example 2 Let X be a given set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ its power set. We can define a relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by saying, given $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, $A \sim B$ if $A \subseteq B$. In the set notation, this relation is the set $S = \{ (A, B) \in \mathcal{P}(A) \times \mathcal{P}(B) : A \subseteq B \}$.

Example 3 Consider the relation on \mathbb{Z} given

by $S = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (m-n) \}$.

Is $1 \sim 2$? $1 \sim 3$? $1 \sim 4$? List all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

such that $1 \sim n$. $\{ \dots, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, \dots \}$

Example 4 Consider the relation on \mathbb{R} given by

$x \sim y$ if and only if $x-y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

① List all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $3 \sim x$. \mathbb{Z}

② Is $-3.7 \sim 3.7$? No, since $-3.7 - 3.7 = -7.4 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

③ List all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1.4 \sim x$

$$\{ \dots, -2.6, -1.6, -0.6, 0.4, 1.4, 2.4, 3.4, \dots \}$$

Def An equivalence relation \sim on X is a

relation on X that is

① reflexive: $\forall x \in X, x \sim x$

② symmetric $\forall x, y \in X$, if $x \sim y$, then $y \sim x$.

③ transitive $\forall x, y, z \in X$, if $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$, then $x \sim z$.

Exercise Which examples above are equivalence relations?

For those that are not, what fails?

① Example 1: no, reflexivity and symmetry fail

② Example 2: no, symmetry fails

(3) Example 3 : yes

Proof (1) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then since $3 \cdot 0 = 0$ and $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $3|0$, and so $3|(n-n)$. Thus $n \sim n$.

(2) Suppose $n \sim m$. Then $3k = n-m$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies $3(-k) = m-n$. Since $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \sim n$.

(3) Suppose $n \sim m$ and $m \sim p$. Then

$3j = (n-m)$ and $3k = (m-p)$ for some $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } n-p &= n-m+m-p \\ &= 3j + 3k = 3(j+k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $j+k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $3|(n-p)$ and so $n \sim p$.

(4) Example 4 : yes

Proof (1) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x-x=0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $x \sim x$.

(2) Suppose $x \sim y$. Then $x-y=k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $y-x=-k$. Since $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \sim x$.

(3) Suppose $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$. Then $x-y=k$ and $y-z=j$ for some $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $x-z = x-y+y-z = k+j$.

Since $k+j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \sim z$.

Def Given an equivalence relation \sim on X ,
 for each $x \in X$, the equivalence class of x
 is the set $E_x \subseteq X$ given by $E_x = \{y \in X : y \sim x\}$.

Examples In Example 3 above

$$E_0 = \{3n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, E_1 = \{3n+1 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In Example 4 above,

$$E_0 = \mathbb{Z}, E_{0.2} = \{0.2 + n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Problem 1. Define a relation on \mathbb{Z} by $x \sim y$ if and only if $x^2 + y^2$ is even. Show that this is an equivalence relation. Give 5 examples of integers in the equivalence class of 1.

Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $x \sim x$ since $x^2 + x^2 = 2x^2$ is even. Suppose $x \sim y$. Then $x^2 + y^2$ is even. This also implies $y^2 + x^2$ is even and so $y \sim x$. Suppose $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$. Then $x^2 + y^2$ and $y^2 + z^2$ are even. That $x^2 + y^2 = 2n$ and $y^2 + z^2 = 2m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $x^2 + z^2 = 2n - y^2 + 2m - y^2 = 2(m - n)$, which implies $x^2 + z^2$ is even since $m - n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $x \sim z$.

$$E_1 = \{2n + 1 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Problem 2. Consider the relation on \mathbb{Z} given by $x \sim y$ if and only if $y - x$ is divisible by 7. It is possible to show that this is an equivalence relation. For each of $x = 0, 1, 2$ find give elements of E_x .

$$E_0 = \{7n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{-21, -14, -7, 0, 7, 14, 21, \dots\}$$

$$E_1 = \{7n+1 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{-20, -13, -6, 1, 8, 15, 22, \dots\}$$

$$E_2 = \{7n+2 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{-19, -12, -5, 2, 9, 16, 23, \dots\}$$

Problem 3. Define a relation on \mathbb{R} by $x \sim y$ if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x, y \in [n, n+1]$. Is this an equivalence relation? Why or why not?

No. Notice that if $x=0, y=1, z=2$ then

$x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$ but $x \not\sim z$.

Problem 4. Consider the following modification of the relation in the previous example. Define a relation on \mathbb{R} by $x \sim y$ if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x, y \in [n, n+1]$. This is in fact an equivalence relation. (Try proving it if you finish early.) What elements are in the equivalence class E_π ? What elements are in the equivalence class of -1 ?

$$E_\pi = [3, 4)$$

$$E_{-1} = [-1, 0)$$