

Chapter 2 Basics of statements, logic

Today we'll talk more about statements and equivalences.

DeMorgan's Laws

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \neg(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \wedge \neg Q$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \neg(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

Negation of implication $\neg(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow P \wedge \neg Q$

Example Make truth table for $\neg(P \Rightarrow Q)$ and for $P \wedge \neg Q$

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$\neg(P \Rightarrow Q)$	$\neg Q$	$P \wedge \neg Q$
T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	T	F

Since the columns for $\neg(P \Rightarrow Q)$ and $P \wedge \neg Q$ are the same they are logically equivalent.

Example Negate the implications

(a) "if f is a continuous function then f is differentiable"

(b) "if x is a real number then x is even or odd"

(c) " f is a continuous function and not differentiable"

(d) " x is a real number and it is neither even nor odd"

Definition A statement whose truth values are all T

is called a tautology. One whose truth values are all F is called a contradiction.

Example Construct truth tables for $P \vee \neg P$ and $P \wedge \neg P$.

P	$\neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$	$P \wedge \neg P$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

$P \vee \neg P$ is always true

"I like pizza or I don't like pizza" tautology!

$P \wedge \neg P$ is always false contradiction!

Example (practice with connecting 3 statements) Make
a truth table for $\neg(P \wedge Q) \vee \neg R$

P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg(P \wedge Q)$	$\neg R$	$\neg(P \wedge Q) \vee \neg R$
T	T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T

You might have been able to guess this would happen
by doing some algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \neg(P \wedge Q) \vee \neg R &\Leftrightarrow \neg((P \wedge Q) \wedge R) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \neg(\underbrace{P \wedge Q \wedge R}_{\text{only T when } P, Q, R \text{ are all T}})
 \end{aligned}$$

Algebraic properties

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \neg(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \wedge \neg Q \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{DeMorgan's laws}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \neg(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad P \vee Q \Leftrightarrow Q \vee P \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{commutativity}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad P \wedge Q \Leftrightarrow Q \wedge P$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad P \wedge (Q \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q) \wedge R \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{associativity}$$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad P \vee (Q \vee R) \Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \vee R$$

$$\textcircled{7} \quad P \wedge (Q \vee R) \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{distributivity}$$

$$\textcircled{8} \quad P \vee (Q \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$

Problem 1. Consider the statements $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$ and $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$. Make a truth table for both statements and state why you can conclude they're equivalent.

P	Q	R	$Q \vee R$	$P \wedge (Q \vee R)$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \wedge R$	$(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

They have the same truth values, so $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$ and $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$ are equivalent

Problem 2. Consider the following statements. Make a truth table for each. What simpler statement are they both equivalent to?

- $P \vee (P \wedge Q)$
- $P \wedge (P \vee Q)$

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee (P \wedge Q)$	$P \vee Q$	$P \wedge (P \vee Q)$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F	F	F

Both statements are equivalent to P. This is called the "absorption" property by some.

Problem 3. The following are examples of implications (ie. statements of the form $P \implies Q$). Rephrase them so that they're in the form "if P then Q" and state which part is the hypothesis P and which part is the conclusion Q.

- The sum of two positive numbers is positive.
- The square of the length of the hypotenuse of a right triangle is equal to the sum of the squares of the lengths of the two other sides.
- All primes are even.
- The square of every real number is positive.

(a) $P = "x \text{ and } y \text{ are positive}" = "x > 0 \text{ and } y > 0"$

$Q = "x+y \text{ is positive}" = "x+y > 0"$

(b) $P = "c \text{ is the length of the hypotenuse of a right triangle and } a, b \text{ are the lengths of the other sides}"$

$Q = "c^2 = a^2 + b^2"$

(c) $P = "x \text{ is a prime number}"$

$Q = "x \text{ is even}"$

(d) $P = "x \text{ is a real number}"$

$Q = "x^2 \text{ is positive}"$

Problem 4. Express the negation of each statement in the previous problem.

(a) $P \wedge \neg Q = "x \text{ and } y \text{ are positive and } xy \text{ is not positive}" = "x > 0 \text{ and } y > 0 \text{ and } xy \leq 0"$

(b) $P \wedge \neg Q = "c \text{ is the length of the hypotenuse of a right triangle and } a, b \text{ are the lengths of the other sides and } c^2 \neq a^2 + b^2"$

(c) $P \wedge \neg Q = "x \text{ is a prime number and } x \text{ is not even}" = " \text{there exists a prime number that is not even}"$
 (d) $P \wedge \neg Q = "x \text{ is a real number and } x^2 \text{ is not positive}" = " \text{there exists a real number whose square is not positive}"$

Problem 5. Just for fun, discuss whether the statement is true or the negation is true for each of the statements in Problems 3 and 4.

(a) $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true

(b) $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true (Pythagorean theorem)

(c) $P \wedge \neg Q$ is true (for example 3 is a non-even prime)

(d) $P \wedge \neg Q$ is true (0 , note positive means strictly greater than 0)

Problem 6. A *deductive argument* is a collection of statements A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n called *premises or hypotheses* followed by a statement B called the *conclusion*. A deductive argument is called *valid* if whenever A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are all true, B is true as well. In symbols, we can write a deductive argument as

$$\begin{array}{l} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \\ \hline \therefore B \end{array}$$

To check whether a deductive argument is valid, we can make a truth table using A_1, \dots, A_n and B and check that whenever A_1, \dots, A_n are all true, B is true as well. Make a truth table using the statements in the following argument and decide whether it is valid.

$$\begin{array}{l} P \implies \neg Q \\ R \implies Q \\ \hline \therefore \neg P \end{array}$$

P	Q	$\neg Q$	R	$P \Rightarrow \neg Q$	$R \Rightarrow Q$	$\neg P$
T	T	F	T	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T

The argument is valid