







Introduction: History of Computer Generated Music

Creating Markov chain



Generating Music/ Understanding Results



In the 18th, people played Musikalisches Würfelspiel (Musical Dice Games), They would have tables where each square correlated to a prewritten measure of music, and then would roll 2 6-sided dice to determine the first measure, and then again for the second, generating a short piece of music.

| | Premiere Partie. | | | | | | | | Second | | | |
|-------|------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|---|-----|--------|----|----|----|
| | Ι. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | | I | 2 | 3 |
| 1 Jet | 23 | 63 | 79 | 13 | 43 | 32 | I | Jet | | 33 | 55 | 4 |
| 2 | 77 | 54 | 75 | 57 | 7 | -47 | 2 | - | - | 60 | 46 | 12 |
| 3 | 62 | 2 | 42 | 64 | 86 | 84 | 3 | • | - | 21 | 88 | 94 |
| 4 | 70 | 53 | 5 | 74 | 31 | 20 | 4 | • | - | 14 | 39 | 9 |
| 5 | 29 | 41 | 50 | 11 | 18 | -22 | 5 | • | - | 45 | 65 | 25 |
| 6 , | 83 | 37 | 69 | 3 | 89 | 49 | 6 | • | • | 68 | 6 | 35 |
| 7 | 59 | 71 | 52 | 67 | 87 | 56 | 7 | • | - | 26 | 91 | 66 |
| 8 | 36 | 90 | 8 | 73 | 58 | 48 | 8 | | | 40 | 81 | 24 |

Figure 1: Table for using die rolls to construct bars of a minuet and trio. Scan from https: //imslp.org/wiki/File:PMLP243537-kirnberger_allezeit_fertiger_usw.pdf.



| | Par | tie. | |
|---|-----|------|----|
| | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | 95 | 38 | 44 |
| | 78 | 93 | 76 |
| | 80 | 15 | 34 |
| | 30 | 92 | 19 |
| | I | -28 | 17 |
| | 51 | 61 | 10 |
| | 82 | 72 | 27 |
| 1 | 16 | 85 | 96 |





PROS

• Aren't really generating • $6^{16} = 2.82110991 \times 10^{12}$ potential arrangements pieces • This was a seemingly great • Music is pre-written, way to generate "random" the players are just music, especially when we arranging it consider that Markov • Leaves us to question if we could really randomly Chains weren't introduced til 1906 generate music

CONS



Early Computer Music Generation

- Unlike Music Dice Games, couldn't let notes be completely independent
 - Would create a cacophony rather than "music"
 - Therefore, use markov chains to stop notes and duration from being independent
- First used Markov Chains & the ILLIAC I computer to compose/generate Illiac Suite in 1957
 - Made of four movements, the first more simple, the second created 4 vocal parts, the third was more modern and the fourth was focused on what the system could create









Figure 3: Musical Markov Chain example using graphical representation.

- Training data: estimates the probabilities for the Markov chain contain information about a single note/chord
- Nodes (states): sound objects-

- Transition matrix
- Initial probability vector: based on
 - number of times that the sound
 - object occurs in training data



• Transition matrix and initial probability distribution vector

| State | (C#4, E4, A4)J | F4♪ | RJ |
|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| (C#4, E4, A4)J | 0.1176 | 0.6234 | 0.2590 |
| F4 ♪ | 0.5123 | 0.0000 | 0.4877 |
| RJ | 0.9995 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 |

Figure 4: Musical Markov Chain example using a transition matrix.

| (C#4, E4, A4)J | F4♪ | RJ |
|----------------|--------|-----|
| 0.3333 | 0.5000 | 0.1 |

Figure 5: Musical Markov Chain Example

667

Uimitations with the research

- Cannot calculate probability with multiple voices/instruments simultaneously
- Do not take the dynamics of the music into account
- Hard to work with less tonal music (such as Jazz)
- Some of the issues can be addressed through other statistical model (Hidden Markov Chain, etc)





STEP 1: Parse the training music

For each individual note and chords, four attributes have been extracted from the input music:



3) Octave of each note: by an integer from 0 to 8

Duration —

Octave \rightarrow

4) Whole note, half note, quarter note, or shorter value.

These four attributes have been combined to be states. Then two dictionaries have been set to save the transition frequency between states and then generate transition matrix of DTMC.



| (1) | $Clate{3}$ | $(D3,G3)$ \clubsuit | $Elat{2}$ | | $((B\flat 3,B\sharp 4) \checkmark$ | ((C6, |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|-----|------------------------------------|-------|
| $C \flat 3$ \land | / 0.16 | 0.2 | 0.2 | | 1 |] |
| (D3,G3) | 0.047 | 0.047 | 0.095 | | 1 | 1 |
| $Elate{2}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | | 1 | 1 |
| | : | ÷ | ÷ | · | : | |
| $((B\flat 3,B\sharp 4) floor$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 |] |
| ((C6, C7) floor | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | (|
| $R\mathbf{o}$ | \ 0 | 0 | 0.5 | ••• | 0.5 | 0. |
| (2) α = | (0.02) | 0.05 | 0.09 | | 0.97 | 0. |

Normalized transitional matrix of notes generated from the input music





 R_{\bullet} 0.060)

1)

Why the sum of each row $\neq 1$?



Last note becomes an absorbing state?







Figure 1: Example of the Inverse Transform Sampling

Bonus: This method is also used in the default sample() function in R!



Our improvements: Not all durations are defaulted to be floating point numbers, especially source files from different websites. We generalized its applicability to more types of music by conversions.

We can customize the length of music















Thank you